# THE SRF PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION SECTION:

## PROCEDURES AND LANGUAGE

**SEE ALSO:** SRF ENVIRONMENTAL GRAPHICS GUIDANCE

#### **Environmental Review Authorities:**

**IDEM**: Indiana Department of Environmental Management

IDNR: Indiana Department of Natural Resources (Environmental Unit) DHPA: Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology (in IDNR) NRCS: Natural Resources Conservation Service (formerly SCS)

USFWS: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

#### Good advice:

- (1) Avoid impacts to wooded areas and/or wetlands. Such impacts will increase project cost due to required mitigation and prolong project review.
- (2) Provide descriptions of the sites of structures and the routes of lines. Please provide civil township name, topographic quadrangle name, and section-range-township information for project areas.
- (3) SRF will coordinate the Environmental Review. Do NOT contact the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service or IDNR for project review, especially if other federal funding is involved, unless your SRF environmental coordinator says it's OK to do so.
- (4) We do not judge a Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) by page count. We recommend single-spaced, two-sided pages. Please do not include species lists, discussions of the geological history or indigenous peoples of an area, etc. The environmental chapter must discuss only negative environmental impacts; a discussion of the project's positive impacts will be included in other parts of the PER and need not be repeated in the environmental chapter.

#### **DEFINITIONS**

**Prime and Unique Farmland**: A designation based, in part, on soils and hydrology, NOT actual use. The Natural Resources Conservation Service and the loan applicant's consultant assess the amount of land that will be impacted by the project, either directly or **indirectly (i.e., by inducing development)**. This determination is made through completion of the ad-1006 Farmland Conversion Impact Rating form. SRF will supply guidance for completing this process.

**Undisturbed land**: Any land, regardless of zoning or ownership, including agricultural land (i.e., row-crop farmland, orchards, pasture, fallow farmland, or land that was previously farmland but is now grass or other vegetation), that has not been substantially disturbed by recent soil disturbing activities. This may include easements. **Such land may be subject to archaeological investigation**. Be sure the PER Environmental Evaluation section states the source of borrow soil: is it from a commercial source or from undisturbed land such as farmland or other undeveloped land?

August 5, 2008 Page 1 of 7

Wetlands: There are several definitions of a wetland, but in Indiana, federal and state environmental review authorities look at the acreage, quality, soils, hydrology and vegetation of an area to determine whether or not the area is a federal and/or state jurisdictional wetland. Many streams are riverine wetlands. Important note: A wetland may NOT be obviously wet. You may need a Section 404 permit for wetland work from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and/or a Section 401 Water Quality permit from the IDEM Water Quality Section. Mitigation measures will likely be imposed by these authorities, as well as the USFWS and the IDNR.

## I. General Environmental Review Procedures

#### A. Coordination

The SRF environmental coordinator will coordinate the project environmental review. SRF will contact the environmental review authorities for their comments on the project. Unless directed by the SRF environmental coordinator, consultants and loan applicants should not directly contact the environmental review authorities to review SRF projects; in the past, this has led to project delays and has jeopardized deadline-sensitive funding. (BUT see below if other federal funding is involved). The exception to this is coordination with NRCS, which will usually be the duty of the consultant; see page 6 for details.

Using the SRF environmental coordinator as the single point contact has proven to be efficient and beneficial to our customers. The SRF environmental coordinator will forward all correspondence from environmental review authorities to the consultant and the loan applicant. We **strongly** recommend that this correspondence be kept in the environmental chapter of the consultant's and loan applicant's copies of the PER.

However, if you are pursuing other federal funding, such as OCRA (HUD) or RD (USDA), the environmental review of the jointly funded project must be coordinated with the SRF environmental coordinator. We must ensure that environmental review authorities are provided complete and accurate information about a proposed project, so that they can render an informed opinion. Consequently, consultants must keep their OCRA and RD grant administrators upto-date with revisions to the PER. Environmental review which has started without our input may not meet SRF requirements. But: coordinated environmental review among OCRA grant administrators, RD and SRF should be a win-win situation for our customers. If you have questions about the environmental review process, please call Max Henschen at 317/232-8623.

# B. Consistency between PER and plans/specifications

If the plans and specs show different routes for lines or different sites for structures or different borrow/fill areas than are in the approved PER, then some SRF loan funding or disbursements may be in jeopardy until SRF evaluates the changes. A new Environmental Assessment may have to be prepared and distributed for a 30-day public comment period.

August 5, 2008 Page 2 of 7

# II. Order of Topics and Suggested Language

Please discuss the topics in the Environmental Discussion in the following order.

#### A. Disturbed and Undisturbed Land

See the definitions on page 1. Briefly discuss where and how undisturbed land will be affected, as well as what type of undisturbed land it is. Don't forget to include borrow areas in the discussion.

If lines will be placed under existing streets or immediately next to roads in areas disturbed by road construction, <u>please state so</u>. Avoid using terms such as "in existing rights-of-way" or "existing easements", since these areas may be archaeologically undisturbed. Do the same for proposed structures. If lines or structures will be placed in sidewalks or yards, please clearly state so.

## B. Historic and Architectural Resources (See the Environmental Graphics Guidance)

IDNR's Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology has informed us that we must provide them with more information regarding project impacts on historic and architectural resources. Although to our knowledge, wastewater or drinking water projects have never resulted in substantial harm to such properties, their landscaping can be affected.

Interim Reports: Check the \_\_\_\_\_\_ County Interim Report (IR)
(http://www.historiclandmarks.org/Resources/ArchitecturalSurveys/Pages/SurveyReports.as
px; this site also has ordering information) for your project's county to see if the project area is in an Historic District or will physically affect or be near an historic or architecturally significant site.

Bloomington, Fort Wayne, Mishawaka, New Albany and South Bend have their own Interim Reports. On the relevant maps from the Interim Report, draw all proposed project elements (see SRF Environmental Graphics Guidance). If you refer to a specific site in the text, please use the 11-digit IR identifier or what that IR uses as complete identifiers. Be sure to label cemeteries if the project is next to one.

For projects in Benton, Crawford, LaGrange, Martin, Newton, Pike, Pulaski, Steuben, Tipton, Union, and Washington counties, please contact the county or local historian for an opinion regarding the project's effects on historic sites. See <a href="http://www.indianahistory.org/lhs/historianlist.html">http://www.indianahistory.org/lhs/historianlist.html</a> for a list of county historians; include his/her opinion in the Environmental Evaluation section of the PER. If your project is in Adams, Allen or Warren counties, you will have to conduct research at the DHPA in Indianapolis to include in the PER; please contact the SRF Environmental Coordinator for details.

# C. Wetlands (See the Graphics Guidance)

Construction activity in or very near wetlands must be avoided whenever possible. Filling, dredging or draining wetlands requires state and/or federal permits. Increased cost to avoid a wetland is not necessarily sufficient justification for impacting a high-quality wetland. Exceptions: Equalization basins, lagoons and some tankage are often given wetland designation on NWI maps, but such designations have never affected project activities involving those basins. Costly compensatory mitigation involving wetland construction, tree planting, etc., may be required by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the IDEM 401 Water Quality Section, and the U.S.

August 5, 2008 Page 3 of 7

Fish and Wildlife Service for wetland impacts. SRF expects mitigation measures provided by IDNR and USFWS to be implemented by the loan applicant, the consultant and the construction engineers, but compensatory mitigation replacement of trees and wetlands is not SRF fundable.

Erosion control measures are especially important when working near wetlands. Dewatering flows should not be directly discharged to streams or wetlands; a settling basin or some other effective mitigation measure to reduce suspended solids is the preferred mitigation measure.

Suggested language for part of the wetland section of the environmental chapter (use this language only if the statement is true): "Wetlands will not be impacted by construction or operation of the project." In the rare cases where wetlands will be unavoidably impacted, appropriate language for the wetlands discussion of the Environmental Evaluation section is: "Mitigation measures to lessen and compensate for wetland impacts cited in comment letters about the project from the Indiana Department of Natural Resources and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service will be implemented."

## D. Hydrology (See the Graphics Guidance)

#### 1. Surface Waters

Describe the settings of **all** crossings of ephemeral (intermittent) and perennial (permanent) streams and label them clearly on an appropriate map; state in the text or map label whether the crossed streams are ephemeral or perennial. Perennial streams are illustrated as solid blue lines on topographic maps, whereas ephemeral streams are represented by interrupted blue lines. <a href="https://lean.com/http://l29.79.145.7/arcims/statewide\_mxd/index.html">https://laa.com/http://laa.com/https://laa.com/http

Mention whether or not the project will adversely **impact waters of high quality** (327 IAC 2-1-2 (3) or exceptional use streams (327 IAC 2-1-11 (b), Natural, Scenic and Recreational Rivers and Streams (312 IAC 7-2) or Salmonid Streams (327 IAC 2-1.5-5(a)(3). Explain why impacts to those resources cannot be avoided, and list mitigation measures you intend to take to lessen such impacts.

Suggested language (use only if true): The project will not adversely affect waters of high quality listed in 327 IAC 2-1-2(3), exceptional use streams listed in 327 IAC 2-1-11(b), Natural, Scenic and Recreational Rivers and Streams listed in 312 IAC 7-(2) or Salmonid Streams listed in (327 IAC 2-1.5-5(a)(3).

### 2. 100-Floodplains and Floodways

A 100-year floodplain consists of a floodway and a floodway fringe. No dredge or fill is allowed in a floodway without a permit from IDNR Division of Water. Illustrate the boundaries of the 100-year floodplain in the project areas. Discuss how above-grade structures in the 100-year floodplain will be protected.

Comment [11]: current as of 11-VI-08
Comment [12]: current as of 11-VI-08
Comment [13]: current as of 11-VI-08
Comment [14]: current as of 11-VI-08

August 5, 2008 Page 4 of 7

#### 3. Groundwater

Will dewatering be necessary due to high groundwater? Please mention if your project will do more than temporarily affect a groundwater table or local wells during construction due to dewatering. Your mitigation measures should ensure that dewatering flows will be settled in a settling basin prior to discharge or otherwise not introduce solids to a surface water. SRF may require soil borings at proposed lagoon or equalization basin sites; we must be sure that those sites are suitably separated from groundwater and bedrock and high groundwater. This is a project planning issue, not a design issue.

If your project is in certain parts of northern Indiana, you may have to address project impacts to the St. Joseph Sole Source Aquifer. SRF will provide a map of the aquifer area.

#### E. Plants and Animals

Please do not provide lists of species in the project area, whether endangered or not. Discuss project impacts to endangered species and/or their habitats only as they are NEGATIVELY impacted by the project. Be sure to mention impacts, or lack thereof, to trees and wooded areas. Wooded areas and other areas of good wildlife habitat such as scrub/shrub and wetlands should be avoided, especially for structure siting. Removal of a few trees here and there is usually not a problem.

Environmental authority comment letters usually list mitigation measures to reduce negative project impacts on these species, and SRF will quote such mitigation measures in the Environmental Assessment, should an EA be necessary. SRF and the other state and federal review authorities expect the loan applicant, its consultant and the construction engineers to implement such mitigation measures.

Be sure to mention impacts, or lack of impacts, to trees, scrub/shrub areas and other habitat areas. The following language is suggested as part of this section of the environmental chapter; use this language only if it is accurate: "The construction and operation of the project will not negatively impact state or federal-listed endangered species or their habitat. The project will be implemented to minimize impact to non-endangered species and their habitat. Mitigation measures cited in comment letters from the Indiana Department of Natural Resources and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service will be implemented." Changes to this language may be appropriate after environmental review authorities have commented on the project.

# F. Prime Farmland and Geology

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) must be consulted on any SRF project that will disturb the ground, whether or not that land is obviously farmland and regardless of zoning or ownership. Your consultant is considered the "federal agency" for NRCS coordination purposes. Your consultant will contact the NRCS using the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating form and project information. Please read the guidance for filling out the form. If undisturbed land will be affected by your project, please include a soils map in the initial communication with the NRCS. Include a copy of the completed form sent to NRCS, as well as the NRCS reply in the Environmental Evaluation section of the PER. Provide assurances that the project will keep siltation and erosion to a minimum, and list your methods for ensuring this in a Mitigation

August 5, 2008 Page 5 of 7

Measures section. Environmental review authorities will provide mitigation measures for reducing or eliminating waterway siltation and contamination due to construction activities. The loan applicant, consultant and construction engineer will be expected to implement mitigation measures.

#### G. Air Quality

- Mention short-term (construction-related) and long-term (operational) negative impacts. These
  include noise, dust (e.g., asbestos, lead, etc.), odors and airborne contaminants (e.g., ozone).
  Mention the mitigation measures you'll use to limit such impacts.
- 2. Discuss the existing compliance of the project area regarding ozone and other airborne pollutants, and describe the project impacts on future compliance.

#### H. Open Space and Recreational Opportunities

If you are going to disturb a recreational area (e.g., a park), mention that fact and note whether the impact is permanent. Be sure to mention erosion control measures. Suggested language (*use only if true*): "The proposed project's construction and operation will neither create nor destroy open space and recreational opportunities."

#### I. Lake Michigan Coastal Program

IDNR administers the Lake Michigan Coastal Program as the lead agency for the federal Coastal Zone Program. This program looks at impacts in **Lake, Porter and LaPorte counties.** The coastal zone includes inland areas, such as dune/swale, wetlands, etc., as well as the actual Lake Michigan shoreline. The SRF must initiate a "federal consistency review" with the IDNR for projects in the Lake Michigan Coastal Zone; this review may take 60-90 days. Until further notice, the following language, if true, should be used in the PER environmental impacts chapter to address this issue: "The proposed project will not affect the Lake Michigan Coastal Zone." See <a href="http://www.in.gov/dnr/lakemich/activity/general.html">http://www.in.gov/dnr/lakemich/activity/general.html</a>.

#### J. National Natural Landmarks

It is unlikely that your project will impact these. As of April 18, 2007, this web address listed and mapped the National Natural Landmarks in Indiana: <a href="http://www.nature.nps.gov/nnl/Registry/USA Map/States/Indiana/indiana.htm">http://www.nature.nps.gov/nnl/Registry/USA Map/States/Indiana/indiana.htm</a>. There is also a layer in The Indiana Map of these resources. Suggested language: "The construction and operation of the proposed project will not impact National Natural Landmarks."

# K. Secondary Impacts (aka Induced or Cumulative Impacts)

Please do not cite economic needs as justification for an SRF project. The Wastewater SRF program was developed to address the enforceable requirements of the Clean Water Act. The Drinking Water SRF program addresses the enforceable requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act. SRF Funding may be used only to abate existing water pollution and drinking water needs. SRF funds may not be used to stimulate growth or attract development, although projects should typically be designed for a 20-year planning period. The most important negative long-term environmental impact of virtually any project is growth and development enabled

August 5, 2008 Page 6 of 7

by the project. Such development can threaten wetlands, prime farmland, wooded areas and other valuable habitat, archaeological/historical resources, etc., and it creates more pollution. Since a federally funded infrastructure project may result in development on previously undisturbed land, the environmental impacts chapter of the PER must state that the loan applicant will protect sensitive environmental resources (wetlands, forested areas, steep slopes, 100-year floodplains, and archaeological/historical/architectural resources, etc.) from future growth and development which will use SRF-funded facilities. If not cleared by federal and state environmental review authorities, construction related to such development could violate federal and state laws and regulations.

The means of protection should be discussed. Such protection can be accomplished through zoning ordinances and proper planning to avoid negative impacts due to future growth and development.

Here are two examples of acceptable language regarding induced impacts:

"The town [or city or District or utility] will ensure, through local zoning laws or other means, that future development, as well as future collection system or treatment works projects connecting to SRF-funded facilities, will not adversely impact wetlands, archaeological/historical/structural resources, or other sensitive environmental resources. The town will require new development and treatment works projects to be constructed within the guidelines of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, IDNR, IDEM, and other environmental review authorities."

Or: "The town [or city or District or utility], through the authority of its council, planning commission or other means, will ensure that future development, as well as future collection system or treatment works projects connecting to SRF-funded facilities will not adversely impact archaeological/historical/structural resources, wetlands, wooded areas, or other sensitive environmental resources. The town will require new development and treatment works projects to be constructed within the guidelines of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, IDNR, IDEM, and other environmental review authorities."

For drinking water projects, the proper terminology would be "...future development, as well as future supply, storage, distribution, or treatment works projects connecting to SRF-funded facilities...".

# L. Mitigation Measures

<u>Briefly list mitigation measures</u> to avoid/lessen erosion, siltation, air quality impacts, impacts to wooded or wetland areas, etc., and other temporary or long-term negative impacts.

August 5, 2008 Page 7 of 7